IN THE UNITED STATES	
FOR THE DISTRICT	OF COLUMBIA
/ANOUCHER MOHAMMADI, REZA /IOHAMMADI, SIMIN MOHAMMADI)
AND NASRIN MOHAMMADI, ON)
BEHALF OF THEMSELVES AND ON) MOTION TO SEEK LEAVE TO
BEHALF OF AKBAR MOHAMMADI,) FILE SECOND AMENDED
AND DOES 1 THROUGH UNKNOWN,) CLASS ACTION COMPAINT
/o Frandom Watch Inc) UNDER THE ALIEN TORT
/o Freedom Watch, Inc. P.O. 2789) CLAIMS ACT AND ANTI-
Washington, D.C. 20013) TERRORISM LAWS
And Other Class Members)
)
Lead Plaintiffs,	
V.)
)
)
SLAMIC REPUBLIC OF IRAN)
/o Mohammad Khazaee)
Permanent Representative of Iran)
o the United Nations)
East 46th Street)
New York, New York 10017)
and)
MAHMOUD AHMADINEJAD)
z/o President's Office)
Pasteur Avenue)
Postal Office 1423-13185)
Fehran, Iran 13168-43311)
)
and)
AYATOLLAH SAYID ALI)
HOSEYNI KHAMENEI)
/o Mohammad Khazaee)
Permanent Representative of Iran)

AIMS ACT AND ANTI-TERRORISM LAWS IN TO ТC

to the United Nations East 46th Street New York, New York 10017 and
ARMY OF THE GUARDIANS OF THE ISLAMIC REVOLUTION c/o Mohammad Khazaee Permanent Representative of Iran to the United Nations East 46th Street New York, New York 10017

Defendants

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

)

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

Through this motion, the above captioned class of Plaintiffs seeks leave to file their Second Amended Class Action Complaint pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15. Plaintiffs' Second Amended Class Action Complaint, attached hereto as Exhibit 1, adds additional allegations which have recently come to light with regard to Defendants' involvement in funding the murders of United States Servicemen stationed in the Middle East (in particular Iraq and Afghanistan) by and through payment of \$1000 to the murderers of the American Servicemen for each murdered individual. In addition, and based of the foregoing newly discovered information, the Complains adds an additional group of as Doe Plaintiffs and Members of the Class of Plaintiffs. The Second Amended Complaint is timely, as there has not been a responsive pleading filed by the Defendants and does not cause any prejudice to the Defendants and should be permitted. Plaintiffs respectfully request that the court grant leave to file this Second Amended Complaint as they are attempting to serve it on Thursday, September 23, 2010, while the President of Iran, Defendant Ahmadinejad, and his government entourage, are visiting the United States for the General Assembly meeting of the United Nations. Last

MOTION TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPAINT UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT AND ANTI-TERRORISM LAWS

year, at this time and place, he was served with the original Complaint at his hotel, the Barclay International in New York City.

3

///

///

1

2

- 4
- 5 ///
- 6 ///

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

II. <u>ARGUMENT</u>

A. Leave Should Be Granted To Amend the Complaint.

1. Leave Is Freely Granted.

Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 15(a) provides that leave to amend a pleading "shall be freely given when justice so requires." The United States Supreme Court, multiple District Courts have repeatedly reaffirmed that leave to amend is to be granted with "extreme liberality." *DCDPrograms, Ltd. v. Leighton*, 833 F.2d 183, 186 (9th Cir. 1987) (citation omitted); *see, e.g., Foman v.Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182, 83 S. Ct. 227, 230 (1962) (leave to amend should be freely given); *Eminence Capital, LLC v. Aspeon, Inc.*, 316 F.3d 1048, 1052 (9th Cir. 2003) ("Absent prejudice, or a strong showing of any of the remaining *Foman* factors, there exists a *presumption* under Rule 15(a) in favor of granting leave to amend.") (emphasis in original); *United States v. Webb*, 655 F.2d 977, 979 (9th Cir. 1981) (courts should be guided by policy favoring decisions on the merits "rather than on the pleadings or technicalities"); *see also* Moore, *3-15 Moore's Federal Practice - Civil* §15.14 ("A liberal, pro-amendment ethos dominates the intent and judicial construction of Rule15(a).").

The primary factors relied upon by the Supreme Court and other courts in denying a motion for leave to amend are "bad faith, undue delay, prejudice to the opposing party, and futility of amendment." *DCD Programs*, 833 F.2d at 186. None of these factors are present here. Plaintiffs seek to amend the Complaint and add additionally discovered facts. Moreover, Plaintiffs would like to take advantage of the opportunity presented to serve several of the Defendants this week when Defendants are scheduled to be in the United States for a United Nations meeting.

B. Amendment Should Be Permitted.

The Second Amended Complaint is timely and should be allowed and this request falls within the liberal standard for freely allowing the amendment of pleadings. See *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962) ("In the absence of . . . undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive on the part of the movant . . . undue prejudice to the opposing party by virtue of allowance of the amendment ...the leave sought should, as the rules require, be 'freely given.'") There is no prejudice to Defendants here. Additionally, the Second Amended Complaint does not change the nature of the lawsuit. Accordingly, Defendants will not be prejudiced by an order granting leave to file the Second Amended Complaint.

Moreover, Plaintiffs offer their Second Amended Complaint in good faith and without undue delay. Plaintiff's amendments and additional details in support of its previously asserted claims and new claims are not in bad faith. *See Coilcraft, Inc. v. Inductor Warehouse*, 2000 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 6097, 8-9 (no bad faith where plaintiff made "reasonable inquiry" into facts supporting new claim, introduced relevant evidence, and "has never mischaracterized the nature of the lawsuit").

In sum, Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint was filed timely and in good faith, contains claims similar to those originally asserted and does not prejudice Defendants. Consequently, none of the factors on which courts base denial of motions for leave to amend are present here and the Motion should be granted.

III. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the reasons discussed above, plaintiff respectfully seeks leave of this Court to file the Proposed Second Amended Class Action Complaint.

FREEDOM WATCH, INC. P.O. 2789
Washington, D.C. 20013
///
LARRY KLAYMAN, ESQ.
General Counsel and Chairman
4

MOTION TO SEEK LEAVE TO FILE SECOND AMENDED CLASS ACTION COMPAINT UNDER THE ALIEN TORT CLAIMS ACT AND ANTI-TERRORISM LAWS

1

